

REPÚBLICA DE MOÇAMBIQUE MINISTÉRIO DA AGRICULTURA E SEGURANÇA ALIMENTAR

II Biennial Review Report of Mozambique on the Implementation of the Malabo Declaration 2015-2016



Submitted to the African Union Maputo, Moçambique

" Moçambique no aumento da produção e da produtividade rumo à fome zero "

Country PerformanceReporting Template on progress made for implementing the June 2014 Malabo Declaration

Country Name: Mozambique

Performance Category	Country Information					
PC 1.1 Country CAADP	Specific actions taken so far for theta	rget:				
Process <u>Target:</u>			ame year produced a strategic plan for the agriculture sector icultural sector into a "prosperous, competitive, equitable and			
CAADP process to be fully completed	_		rity, and raise the incomes of rural households. In 2013 the			
at the country level: <i>Reach</i> 100% of the	framework, which is well aligned with th	-	an for agriculture (PNISA) operationalizing the PEDSA's policy			
completion, by the year 2018.	•	-	of PNISA in partnership with the World Bank. At the moment,			
<u>Indicator:</u>	the PNISA evaluation process is in progress and will end in August 2017. The recommendations and findings of the evaluation will be used as a basis to develop activities leading to the achievement of the goals set forth in the MALABO Declaration					
CAADP process completion Index in (CAADPPro)	ADP process apletion Index					
		2016 Progress (p _i)				
	Progress item	"Yes" = 100% "No" =	Comments			
		0				
	1. Existence of Communication on internalizing CAADP, p1	Yes=100%	Mozambique has brochures of National Agricultural Investiment Plan (NAIP) that were			

		shared with the Agriculture Development partners. The CAADP, compact was signed on 9th December 2011. The document was socialized at all levels with various stakeholders and is available on the website. www. fsg.afre.msu.edu/mozambique/caadp Plataform of CEPAGRI (NewAllliance), AgriRed e CCSA.
2. Existence of National CAADP Roadmap for implementing Malabo, p2	No=0	No roadmap has been developed to implement the goals of the Malabo Declaration. However, our Social Economic Plan reflects some of the goals set out in the Malabo Declaration
3. Existence of NAIP Appraisal Report, p3	Yes=100%	The progress o NAIP has been evaluated in the two Joint Review reports of the Agricultural Sector. The intermediate evaluation of the PNISA is in progress.
4. Existence of the New NAIP, p4	No=0	The new NAIP has not yet been prepared. However, this will be done on the basis of the results of the ongoing mid-term evaluation.
5. NAIP implementation reflected in national budget, p5	Yes=100%	The NAIP implementation is annually budgeted in the Social Economic Plan (PES)
6. Existence of NAIP M&E System, p6	Yes=100%	MASA makes M & E of PES activities in NAIP. There is no specific NAIP M&E system. At least twice a year, the Ministry of Agriculture and Food Safety (MASA) carries out assessment to evaluate the performance of the Agricultural Sector.

			Activities included in the NAIP are planned and budgeted annually in the PES.			
	7. Existence of NAIP implementation progress Report, p7	Yes=100%	At the same time, monitoring and evaluation reports on PES activities also assess the progress of the NAIP implementation			
	CAADP process completion Index is : CAADPPro = Average (pi)	CAADPPro=(100+0+100+0+100+100)/7=71.4%				
	available at the MASA Directorate of P Portuguese and English languages, on th	an be consulted, such as the NAIP brochures in the Portuguese and English Planning and International Cooperation. As well, CAADP's PACTO is available the following website: www. Fsg .afre.msu.edu / mozambique / caadp. Finally, the tion of NAIP prepared in 2017 and the two reports on the implementation proce				
<u>PC 1.2</u> CAADP based Cooperation, Partnership & Alliance		Committee (CCSA) was	s created in 2013. It serves as a platform for an effective and nsibilities between the key stakeholders in the Agrarian Sector			
<u>Target:</u> Multi-sectorial coordination body and multi- stakeholder body	 (Public Sector, Private Sector Private, Producers' Organization, Cooperation Partners and Civil Society). Achievements on establishing Multi-sectorial coordination body and multi-stakeholder body: Institutionalize the CCSA 					
fully established and operational at national level (reach 100% for the Quality of	 Establish the specific functions of each group of CCSA members Establish the CCSA secretariat Hold the 2 meetings established per year for CCSA Promote the active participation of several actors in the CCSA 					
multi-sectorial and multi-						

stakeholder coordination body, Qc) by 2018.

Indicator: Existence of, and Quality of multisectorial and multi-stakeholder coordination body (Qc)

Progress item	Progress (Qc _i)	Weight (<i>w</i> i)	Qc _i x Wi	Comments
- Existence of the TORs, p_{TOR1}	Sim	100%		CCSA has TORs
- Reflection of the key elements, p _{TOR2}	Sim	50%	2/4*10 0	The TOR reflects the objectives and clea indicates the responsibilities and tasks each actor. However, the document doe not have the business plan or the proje
				budget for its implementation
- Representation of stakeholders, p _{TOR3}	Sim	100%		All key actors are represented and each of them has its own responsibility well defined.
- Relevance of membership, p _{TOR4}	Sim	100%		MASA represents the Government the i the CCSA through, Directors and officials. The Civil Society is represente National and International NGOs and Producer Organizations
- Existence of List of official nominees (number + seniority) and affiliation, p _{TOR5}	Não	0		There is no fixed list of participants' na But the name of the Institutions
1.Existence of quality terms of reference: Qc ₁ = average (p _{TOR(i)})		10%	7%	
- Performance for meetings held, p_{IMP1}		25%	6.25%	A total of 8 planned meetings (2 meetings per year), 2 were carried o being one in 2014 and another in 2017

- Level of engagement, р _{IMP2}	Sim	84.27%	21.06 %	In 2014, out of a total of 60 invited people 42 participated. While in the 2017 meetin of a total of 92 people were Invited and 84 participate in the meeting
2. Level of implementation of the coordination actions $Qc_2 = (p_{IMP1} + p_{IMP2})/2$		25%	13.6%	
- Total number of organizations,N _{org}	5			The CCSA is composed of 5 organizations, Namely: the public sector, the Private (CTA), Civil Society (AcademicsONGs) Producers (FENAGRI), Producers Organization (MUGEDE).
- Total number of meetings organized, $\ensuremath{N_{\text{m0}}}$	2			As indicated in the previous parameter
- Number of organizations present at the meetings organized, $\underline{\sum}N_{\text{orgi}}$	5			As indicated in the previous parameter
3.Level of participation and inclusiveness, $Qc_3 = \sum (N_{Orgi}) / (N_{org} \times N_{m0})$	50%	25%	12.5%	
- Total number of recommendations taken during the evaluation period, $\ensuremath{N_{\text{RT}}}$	5			Recommendations given at the Joint Review meeting where the progress of PNISA was assessed
- Total number of decisions taken with out of the number of recommendations during the evaluation period, N_{DT}	3			
- Number of decisions implemented, $\ensuremath{N_{\text{DI}}}$	No Available			

	4. Level of commitment to decisions, Qc4 = (N_{DI} / N_{RT})	0	20%	0		
	Total expected senior attendance per meeting, T_{SA}	67				
	Total number of meetings organized, N_{m0}	2				
	Observed total senior attendances, $\sum O_{SAi}$	50				
	5. Level of Representation, $Qc_5 = \sum O_{SA(i)} / (N_{m0} x T_{SA})$	37%	20%	7.5%		
	Existence of, and Quality of multi-sectorial andmulti-stakeholder coordination body, $Qc = \sum (Qc_i x w_i)_{i=1 \text{ to } 5}$	40.6%				
	 •TORs, invitations, minutes and attendance lists of Cooperation Directorate. •The Comité de Coordenação do Sector Agrário-CO CCSA is led by the Ministry of Agriculture and F development corridors; development partners, 	CSA,) is respo Food Security	onsible for r v and it is co	nonitoring mposed by	the implementation of the PNISA. The public services, as well as members of	
PC 1.3 CAADP based Policy & Institutional Review/Setting/ Support <u>Target:</u> Evidence-based policies and	 Specific actions taken so far for the target: Review of normative instruments to facilita Creation of new normative instruments to f MASA led a stocktaking of existing studie needed to support policy design and evic partners then set out to fill these gaps. Thi 	facilitate entr es and repor dence based	repreneursh ts to identi decision-m	ip in the a fy gaps in aking. GC	gricultural sector the knowledge base and information DM in collaboration with development	

support planning	Analysis, Productivity, Growth, and Poverty Reduction, fun	ded by USAID with Michiga	n State University MSII IFPRI
and	and ReSAKSS support government's efforts to reduce		-
implementation are established		poverty through evident	te based research, capacity
and implemented	strengthening, and strategic communication.		
by the country to			
deliver on Malabo (reach 100% for	Achievements on evidence based policies and institutions:		
the Evidence-			
based policies,	Item	Progress	Comments
supportive institutions and			Five-Year Government
corresponding			Plan (2015-19);
human resources, EIP) by 2018.			Mechanization Program;
<u> </u>			Irrigation Strategy; Agro-
<u>Indicator:</u>			business Program;
Evidence-based			Operational Plan for
policies, supportive			Agricultural Marketing;
institutions and			Operational Plan for Food
corresponding human			Production (POPA);
resources(EIP)	- Total number of policies and strategies in the NAIP, TNP	12	Operational Plan for
			Agrarian Development
			(PODA); Integrated
			Agricultural Marketing
			Plan (PICA); Integrated
			Program for the Transfer
			of Agrarian Technologies
			(PITTA); Livestock
			Production Intensification
			Program for 2015-2019

		(PIPEC); National Rice
		Production Program
		(2015-2019).
		POPA is the only policy
- Number of policies and strategies that are evidence-	11	that did not benefit from
based, NEP	11	exhaustive research at the
		time of its elaboration.
1. Evidence-based policies and strategies evidence (%),	01 (0)	
EPE = NEP/TNP	91.6%	
- Number of policies and strategies elements in the NAIP		All policies require
that required supportive institutions (laws and	12	institutional support.
regulations), NRI		
		Seed Regulation (Decree
		12/2013); Regulation of
		Fertilizers (Decree
		11/2013); Regulation for
		the Protection of Plant
		Varieties (Decree
- Number of institutions (laws and regulations) <u>that exist</u> to	8	26/2014); Decree on
support policies and strategies NIP	0	Reduction of VAT rate for
		agricultural products;
		Revision of the Customs
		Tariff Law 11/2016,
		which includes Reduction
		of VAT by 60% in projects
		and hydraulic works,

		Decree on exemption from VAT on goods of class K (capital goods) of the agricultural sector, Extension of reduction of
2. Supportive institutions -laws and regulations- (%), :	66.6%	the rate Incident on diesel
EPI = NIP/NRI - Number of required fulltime staff positions for planning and M&E, FTP	503	514
- Number of staffing positions filled, FTS	46	0
3. Full-time equivalent staff dedicated to agricultural policy planning, implementation and M&E within the Ministry of agriculture (%), FTE = FTS/FTP	9.1%	
Evidence-based policies, supportive institutions and corresponding human resources, EIP = (EPE + EPI + FTE)/3	(91.6+66.6+	9.1)/3=56%

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

- Number of filled staff positions only reflects officials at central and provincial level. Does not include information at the district level (HRD Statistics). In 2016 Government just admitted extension officers. Due to lack of resources Government is not admitting new staff.

PC 2.1i Public Expenditures to Agriculture. <u>Target:</u> Increase public expenditures to	 Specific actions taken so far for the target: Establishment of budget lines to finance agrari Development Fund. Creation of budget lines for the financing of agr Development Fund. Revenue collection <u>Achievements on public expenditures</u>: 			
agriculture as part of national	Item	2015	2016	
expenditures, to at least 10% from the year 2015 to	1. Total Public Expenditure in local currency unit (lcu): TPE	200 490,000,000.80	208 998,000,000.80	
2025. <i>Indicator:</i>	2. Public Agriculture Expenditure in local currency units (lcu): PAE	13,193,000.000.00	15,165,000,000.00	_
Public agriculture expenditure as share of total public expenditure	Public agriculture expenditure as share of total public expenditure (in %), is: tPAE = 100 x PAE / TPE	6.5%	7.2%	
(in %), is: (ţPAE)	 Sources of verification and other specific common -Data were extracted from the General State Active allocate at least 10% of public expenditure in the Africa (CAADP), but in the two years of evaluate the expenditure to the agricultural sector. 	ccount. The Heads of Sta he agrarian sector unde	er the Comprehensive Ag	grarian Development Program in
<u>PC 2.1ii</u> Public Expenditures to Agriculture.	 Specific actions taken so far for the target: Achievements onintensity of agricultural spend 	<u>ling:</u>		
<u>Target:</u>	Item	2015	2016	
Ensure adequate intensity of agricultural	1. Public Agriculture Expenditure in local currency units (lcu): PAE	13,193,000.000.0 0	15,165,000,000.00	
spending by keeping annual public agriculture	2. Agriculture Value Added (lcu), AgGDP	101,978,000,000. 00	104,630,000,000.00	
expenditure as %				

of agriculture value added to no less than (or at a minimum of) 19% from the year 2015 to the year 2025. <u>Indicator:</u> Public Agriculture Expenditure as % of agriculture value added (PAE _{AgGDP})	Public Agriculture Expenditure as % of agriculture value added, PAE _{AgGDP} = 100 x PAE / AgGDP • Sources of verification and other specific con -Data were collected from the Ministry of Econo to 12.935% of the value added, while in 2016 it v sector in 2016 compared to 2015. The 19% targe	my and Finance Ex vas 14.49% which in	penditur dicates a	an increase in pu	blic expenditure in the agricultural	
PC 2.1iii Public Expenditures to Agriculture. Target: Ensure that Official Development Assistance (ODA) committed to	 Specific actions taken so far for the target: (I) Joint planning, (Ii) Transparency in the use of public and private (Iii) mutual commitment (Iv) Creation of a dialogue platform (CCSA) when challenges of the agrarian sector. Achievements on ODA disbursement: 		ares wit	h development p	partners the potential and	
implement the NAIPs is fully disbursed to	Item	201	5	2016	_	
countries. The target is to have 100% ODA disbursement	_					
annually from 2015 to 2025. <u>Indicator:</u> ODA disbursed to	2. ODA for agriculture, livestock, forestr fishery, commitments (US\$): agODAC	443,960.619.73 No information				
agriculture as % of						

commitments (ODA)	ODA disbursed to agriculture as % of commitments (%), ODA = 100 x agODAD/agODAC	38.35%	-				
	 Sources of verification and other specific comments: These data were taken from the 2015 report prepared by 	SPPED. The amount disb	oursed by the partners	was low in relation to			
	the commitment in 2015. No data was available for 2016. Most likely these levels will drop significantly in 2015 and 2	2016 as donors withdra	w their direct budget s	upport			
<u>PC 2.2</u> Domestic Private Sector Investment in Agriculture.	Domestic Private -Engaging development partners to finance the agricultural sector Sector Investment -Engaging development partners to finance the agricultural sector						
Target: Ensure that government investment leverage at least X times domestic private investment in agriculture sector by 2025. (SILENT).	 tic -Insertions / reduction of taxes for the import of agricultural inputs such as seed, fertilizer, irrigation pipes, tractors s - Extension of 10% IRPC for agriculture for another 10 years 						
Indicator: Ratio of private sector investment to government investment in agriculture	 Non-payment of VAT for locally produced agricultural pro Diesel Subsidy <u>Achievements ondomestic private investment:</u> 		2016	-			
(țDPrPb)	Item 1. Total Agricultural Investments, TAI	2015 30182.01	2016 31385.53				

	2. Government Agriculture Expenditure (lcu), GAE	13 193.00	15 165.00	
	3. Official Development Assistance (ODA) for agriculture forestry, and fishing, gross disbursements, agODAD		Not Available	
	4. Foreign Direct Investment, FDI	3.043,.00	1 565.00	
	5. Domestic Private Investment in Agriculture, DPrIA = TAI - GEA - agODAD - FDI	8326.29	16218.97	
	Ratio of domestic private sector investment to government investment in agriculture (%), is tDPrPb = 100 x DPrIA / GAE	63.11%	46.69%	
	 Sources of verification and other specific comments: MEF / General State Account and CPI. It was not possible to caryear of analysis. The ratio of domestic private sector investment and it reduced for 51.6% in 2016 (In 2016 we did not inclus forestry, and fishing, gross disbursements. To increase the investiments budget government using mechanistic fiscal risk: (i) Increasingly financed throughborrowing, adding a 	nt to government invo ded the Official Devel ism to expand which a	estment in agricultu opment Assistance re heightening the c	re in 2015 was 86.1% (ODA) for agriculture, ountry vulnarability to
PC 2.3 Foreign Private Sector Investment in Agriculture. Target: Ensure that government investment leverage at least Y	 Specific actions taken so far for the target: Engaging development partners to finance the agrarian set Produce and share results of agricultural activities with pa Create a dialogue platform (CCSA) where the government sof the agrarian sector. Achievements on foreign private sector investment: 	rtners.	ent partners the pote	ential and challenges
times foreign private direct	Item	2015	2016	

investment in		2.042.00	1 5 (5 0 0		
agriculture sector	1. Foreign Direct Investment, FDI	3 043.00	1 565.00		
by 2025. <mark>(SILENT)</mark> .	2. Government Agriculture Expenditure (lcu), GAE	13 193.00	15 165.00	_	
Indicator: Ratio of foreign	Ratio of foreign private direct investment to government investment in agriculture(%), tFPrPb = 100 x FDI / GAE	23.7%	10.32%		
private direct investment to government	Sources of verification and other specific comments:			-	
investment in	-CPI Source and General State Account. The foreign direct in	nvestment ratio for g	overnment investm	ents in agriculture	in 2015
agriculture (ţFPrPb)	was 23.07% and in 2016 it was 10.32%. There was a decreas	e in foreign direct in	vestment projects in	2016	
<u>PC 2.4</u>	Specific actions taken so far for the target:				
Market Access.	-Access to finance continues to be a constraint for agricultu	re development. Thu	s, in recent years th	e Government has a	adopted
<u>Target:</u>	policy measures aiming at expanding the banking network,	which is based on th	e extension of banki	ing services to the c	listricts,
Ensure that 100%	as well as the construction of infrastructures linking the o	listricts, which has a	allowed the expansi	ion of banking serv	vices. In
of men and			-	C C	
women engaged in agriculture have	addition to the coverage of banking services, a number of		-		0
access to financial	Promotion of rural finance programs;-Implementation of	the District Develop	ment Fund;-Credit	loans (low interes	st rates)
services to be able	offered by the Agrarian Development Fund				
to transact agriculture business, by 2025.	 <u>Achievements on market access</u>: 				
business, by 2025.	Item			2016	
<u>Indicator:</u> Proportion of men	- Total number of men engaged in agriculture, NtAgM			2,667,579	
and women engaged in agriculture with	- Total number women engaged in agriculture, <i>NtAgW</i>	7		5,143,305	
access to financial services (ţAgFs)	1. Total number of men and women engaged in agric NtAg = NtAgM + NtAgW	ulture,		7,810,884	

	- Number of men engaged in agriculture that have a	ccess to financ	ial services,	NfsAgM	19,117		
	- Number of women engaged in agriculture that hav	ve access to fin	ancial servic	es, <i>NfsAgW</i>	10,907		
	2. Number of men and women engaged in agricultur NfsAg = NfsAgM + NfsAgW	re that have ac	cess to finan	cial services,	30,024		
	Proportion of men and women engaged in agric services, is : tAgFst = 100 x NfsAg / NtAg	ulture with ac	ccess to fina	ncial	0.38%		
	 Sources of verification and other specific comments: 						
<u>PC 3.1i</u>	Specific actions taken so far for the target:						
Access to	- Reduction of import taxes on fertilizers						
Agriculture inputs	•						
and technologies	- Internal fertilizer production (Existing in the center of	the country a	factory that j	produces fertil	izer)		
<u>Target:</u>	- Demining of information on the importance of using ag	gricultural inpu	uts				
Ensure minimum	- Increase in retailers of agricultural inputs						
use of fertilizer for							
African agriculture development at	Achievements on fertilizer use (organic and/or inorganic):						
level of consumption of at	Item	2015	2016				
least 50 kilograms per hectare of	1. Total fertilizers consumption (N+P, N+P+K) in Kg, Fc	170,521.42	Not Available				
arable land, from 2015 to 2025.	2. Arable Land and Permanent Crops in hectare, L	4,591,000		_			
<u>Indicator:</u> Fertilizer	Fertilizer consumption (kilogram of nutrients per hectare of arable land), Fz = Fc/L	3.7					
consumption (kilogram of nutrients per hectare of arable	 Sources of verification and other specific comments: -A study on fertilizer consumption was carried out in 2014. 	In 2015 and 2	016, no evalı	uation was ma	de. According to statis	stics,	

land) (Fz)	the use of fertilizers in Mozambique is established by the Abudja Declaration		r, ranging at 4	kilograms of f	ertilizer per h	ectare agains	t 50 kg per hectare
PC 3.1ii Access to	 Specific actions taken so far for the paging and implementation 	0					
Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies <u>Target:</u> Increase the size of irrigated areas	 Design and implementation Infrastructure Forum to infrastructures, Reduction of VAT by 60% f Irrigation Program 	establish Pu	ıblic and Pri		-		
(as per its value observed in the year 2000), by 100% by the year 2025.	 In February 2015, the Couregulatory framework for maintenance and managem 	r irrigation a	associations,	defining man			
<u>Indicator:</u> Growth rate of the size of irrigated	 <u>Achievements on irrigated areas:</u> 						
area (R _i IA)	Item	2004	2013	2014	2015	2016	
	1&2-Irrigated areas(IA)	1916	5805	9158	1010	2333	

	ir R _i /L • Sourc -The a Inforn	-	based on the year 2004. Since it wa I, while the analysis is annual. In the	s not possible to have da	C C
PC 3.1iii Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies	-	ic actions taken so far for the targ	_		
<u>Target:</u> Double (100% increase) the current levels of quality		Item		2015	2016
quality agricultural inputs			Commodity 1 =		
for crops (seed), livestock (breed), and fisheries		1. Total national quality agricul considered commodity i <i>(AgIR)</i>	lture inputs requirement for the 1)		
(fingerlings), by the year 2025 from the year		2. Supplied quality agriculture (<i>AgIS</i> ₁)	inputs for the commodity 1		
2015.		3. Ratio of supplied quality agr national inputs requirements f			
<u>Indicator:</u> Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture		Growth rate of the ratio of su inputs to the total national in commodity 1(in %), is : <i>tAl</i> 1			
inputs (seed, breed,			Commodity 2 =		
fingerlings)to the total national					

1. Total national quality agriculture inputs requirement for the considered commodity i ($AgIR_2$)					
2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs for the commodity 2 (<i>AgIS</i> ₂)					
3. Ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity (R_2)					
Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity2 (in %), is : $tAI_2 = 100 \times (R_{2.2016} - R_{2.2015}) / R_{2.2015}$					
Commodity 3 =					
1. Total national quality agriculture inputs requirement for the considered commodity i <i>(AgIR₃)</i>					
2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs for the commodity 3 (<i>AgIS</i> ₃)					
3. Ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity (R_3)					
Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity 3 (in %), is: $tAI_3 = 100 \times (R_{3.2016} - R_{3.2015}) / R_{3.2015}$					
Commodity 4 =					
1. Total national quality agriculture inputs requirement for the considered commodity i <i>(AgIR₄)</i>					
2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs for the commodity 4 (<i>AgIS</i> ₄)					
	considered commodity i ($AgIR_2$)2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs for the commodity 2 ($AgIS_2$)3. Ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity (R_2)Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity2 (in %), is : $fAI_2 = 100 \times (R_{2,2016} - R_{2,2015}) / R_{2,2015}$ Commodity 3 =1. Total national quality agriculture inputs requirement for the considered commodity i ($AgIR_3$)2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs for the commodity 3 ($AgIS_3$)3. Ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity (R_3)Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity (R_3)Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity 3 (in %), is : $fAI_3 = 100 \times (R_{3,2016} - R_{3,2015}) / R_{3,2015}$ Commodity 4 =1. Total national quality agriculture inputs requirement for the considered commodity i ($AgIR_4$)2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs requirement for the considered commodity i ($AgIR_4$)	considered commodity i ($AgIR_2$) 2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs for the commodity 2 ($AgIS_2$) 3. Ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity (R_2) Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity 2 (in %), is : $fAI_2 = 100 \times (R_{2.2015} + R_{2.2015}) / R_{2.2015}$ Commodity 3 = 1. Total national quality agriculture inputs requirement for the considered commodity i ($AgIR_3$) 2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs for the commodity 3 ($AgIS_3$) 3. Ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity 3 ($AgIS_3$) 3. Ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity 3 (R_3) Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity (R_3) Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity 4 = 1. Total national quality agriculture inputs requirements for the commodity 4 = 1. Total national quality agriculture inputs requirement for the considered commodity i ($AgIR_4$) 2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs requirement for the considered commodity i ($AgIR_4$) 2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs for the commodity 4			

-	national inputs requirements for the commodity (R_4)	I	
	Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity 4 (in %), is : $tAI_4 = 100 \times (R_{4.2016} - R_{4.2015}) / R_{4.2015}$		
	Commodity 5 =		
	1. Total national quality agriculture inputs requirement for the considered commodity i $(AgIR_5)$		
-	2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs for the commodity 5 (<i>AgIS</i> ₅)		
_	3. Ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity (R_5)		
-	Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity 5 (in %), is : $tAI_5 = 100 \times (R_{5.2016} - R_{5.2015}) / R_{5.2015}$		
	Insert more commodity if	necessary	
	Overall		
	Average Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements, tAI= average (tAI _i)		

PC 3.1iv Access to	 Specific actions taken so far for the target: 					
Access to Agriculture inputs	 Implementation of the PITTA program 					
and technologies	•Fields of demonstration of production techno	logies in the extensionistsfild'	s and producers			
Target:	•Increase in number of extension agents					
All farmers have	•Use of extension networks for crop-yielding e	nterprises				
access to quality agricultural	•Use of extension networks of non-internation	al organizations				
advisory services that provide						
locally relevant	 Achievements onaccess to quality agricultural advisor 	isory services:				
knowledge, information and	Item	2016				
other services by 2018.	1. Number of farmers having access to Agricultural Advisory Services, NFAgAS	1151669				
<u>Indicator:</u> Proportion of	2. Total Number of farmers, NF	4000000				
farmers having access to Agricultural Advisory Services	Proportion of farmers having access to Agricultural Advisory Services (%), AFAgAS = 100 x(NFAgAS/NF)	28.8%				
(AFAgAS)	 Sources of verification and other specific comments: 					
	- -Data regarding the number of Producers with acc	ess to Extension services wer	e extracted from the Integrated Agrarian Survey			
	(IAI) in the year 2015. Despite the great effort tha	t the Government and partner	s have been making for technology transfer, the			
	coverage still low (28.8%). In 2016 Public Extens	ion services assisted 634.345	farmers, NGO's assisted 281.638 farmers, and			
	private sector assisted 235.641 farmers.					

PC 3.1v Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies	 Specific actions taken so far for the target: - Financing of research projects through the national research fund 				
<u>Target:</u> Increase the level of Investments in	 Achievements on investment in agriculture research a 	and development:			
Agricultural	Item	2015	2016		
Research and Development to at	1. Total Agricultural Research Spending, TARS	5,898648780	5,325,936.00		
least 1% of the Agricultural GDP,	2. Agriculture, value added, AgGDP	101,978,000,000.00	104,630,000,000		
from 2015 to 2025.	Total Agricultural Research Spending as a share of AgGDP (%), t TARS =100 xTARS/AgGDP	5.7%	5.09%		
Indicator: Total Agricultural Research Spending as a share of AgGDP (tTARS)	 Sources of verification and other specific comments: 				

PC 3.1vi Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies	•Implementation of the ongo	guarantees secure land rights via traditional oc	ura) it prescribes for the issuance of five million
<u>Target:</u> Ensure that 100% of farmers and agribusiness	through the programme a		
interested in agriculture have rights to access the required land by	Item	2016	

2018.	1. Total number of farm households in the	1 000 000				
<u>Indicator:</u>	country, N _T FHh	4.000.000				
Proportion of farm households with	2. Number of farm HHs with secured land rights , NFHhSL	397.993				
ownership or secure land rights, (ţHhSL)	Proportion of farm households with ownership or secure land rights, ţHhSL : ţHhSLt = 100 xNFHhSLi / NTFHht	10%				
	Sources of verification and other specific comments:					
	•Data on the number of farm households was extract	ed from the Integrated	Agricultural Survey (IAI), carried out by MASA			
	in 2015.					
	•The number of farm household with secure land rig	hts was provided by the	Ministry of Land, Environment and Rural			
	Development (MITADER). Although the proportion	on of farm household is	still low, there is an effort by the government to			
	reverse the current scenario.					
<u>PC 3.2i</u>	Specific actions taken so far for the target:					
Agricultural Productivity	•Availability of improved seeds and fertilizers;					
, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	•Construction and rehabilitation of irrigation in area	s with greater agricultu	ral potential;			
<u>Target:</u> Double (100%	•Establishment of National Agricultural Mechanization Program, valued at US \$ 32.3 million, the first batch of equipment					
increase) the	comprising 513 tractors.					
current agricultural labor productivity	•Increase of areas cultivated;					
levels by the year 2025 from the year	•Hiring and training of extension workers and greater assistance to producers;					
2015.	•Promotion of poultry and horticultural credit line in the southern region of the country;					
Indicator:	•Construction of fish farming tanks;					
Growth rate of	•In the Sub-Sector of veterinary was developed the fo	ollowing actions:				
Agriculture value added per	•Increase of funding in the livestock developmentpro	-	of treatment sleeves, allocation and nurchase o			
agricultural worker (ţAgW)	vaccines to farmer and construction of slaughter	-	or creatment sice ves, anotation and purchase o			
	vaccines to farmer and construction of Slaughter	nouse.				

• <u>Achievements on labor productivity</u>:

	Iteres	Baseline Value (average 2011-2015)					2016	
	ltem	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Average	- 2016
	1. Agriculture value added in constant US dollars (AgGDP) (10^6 \$USD	2.781	2.836	2.889	2.997	3.090		3.171
	2. Agricultural worker (W)	Data not Available	Data not Available	Data not Available	Data not Available	7.246.041		Data not Available
	3. Agricultural value added peragricultural worker (constant 2010 USD), AgW=AgGDP/W (10^3)	Data not Available	Data not Available	Data not Available	Data not Available	426,4		Data not Available
	Growth rate of Agriculture value added per agricultural worker (in %), tAgW = 100 x(AgW ₂₀₁₆ - AgW _{av} .) / AgW _{av} .		Insufficient data					
	 Sources of verification and Specific comments: Agriculture value added was provided by the National Statistics Institute - INE (www.ine.gov.mz). Regard agricultural workers, it was extracted from the Family Budget Survey (IOF) 2014/15 and we do not have 2014. It should be noted that the periodicity of the IOF is 5 years. 					-		
<u>PC 3.2ii</u>	Specific actions taken so	far for the	target:					
Agricultural Productivity	 Availability of improv 	ved seeds an	d fertilizers;					
inductivity	•Construction and rehabilitation of irrigation in areas with greater agricultural potential;							
<u>Target:</u> Double (increase by	•Establishment of Nat		0			•		tch of equipme
100%) the current agricultural land	comprising 513 tra	0					. ,	-
productivity levels,								

by the year 2025 from the year 2015.

•Increase of cultivated areas;

•Hiring and training of extension workers and greater assistance to producers;

<u>Indicator:</u>

Growth rate of agriculture value added, at constant US dollars, per hectare of agricultural arable land (tAgL)

Achievements on land productivity:

ltow		Ba	seline Valu	e (average 2	011-2015)		2010
Item	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Average	2016
1. Agriculture added value in constant US dollars (AgGDP) (10^6 \$USD)	2.781	2.836	2.889	2.997	3.090		3.171
2. Agricultural arable land in hectare (L) (10^3)	Data not Available	5.683	6.282	5.139	4.591		4319
3. Agriculture value added in constant US dollars per hectare of agricultural arable land (AgL=AgGDP/L)	Data Not available	499	460	583	673	554	730
Growth rate of agriculture value added, at constant US dollars, per hectare of agricultural arable land(in %), ţAgL = 100 x(AgL ₂₀₁₆ - AgL _{av.}) / AgL _{av.}				31,77	%		

• Sources of verification and Specific comments:

- •Agriculture value added was provided by the National Statistics Institute INE (<u>www.ine.gov.mz</u>).
- •In relation to data referring to arable land were extracted from the Agricultural Survey. For 2016, data on arable land, area harvested and production below were calculated on the basis of linear regression for 4 consecutive years (2012-2015).
- •According to the Bank of Mozambique in 2014, agriculture that includes animal production, hunting and forestry was the sector

	with the greatest weigh	t in the economy, cont	ributed with at	out 34 perce	nt of GDP.		
<u>PC 3.2iii</u>	 Specific actions taken so far fo 	r the target:					
Agricultural	Mozambican Government has as	a guiding instrument	the PEDSA ar	nd PNISA in t	the Agricultura	alsector, and one o	f the objectives is
Productivity	increase productivity and producti	on. The MASA has dedi	cated a special	attention to	achievement o	of the goals recomm	nended in PEDSA in
Target:	the following areas:						
Double (100% increase) the	A. Research: generation and trans	sfer of technologies (b	asic seed, tissu	ie culture, ar	tificial insemin	ation, conservation	n agriculture, post-
current agricultural yield levels, by the	harvest technology, vaccines and e	mbryo production).					
year 2025 from the	B. Assistance to Producers: Incre	ase the number of ex	tension worke	rs from 1,261	l in 2015 to at	t least 2,061 in 20	19 to improve the
year 2015.	coverage and supply of production	support services.					
Indicator:	C. Mechanization: Establishment of	of agricultural developr	nent incubator	s with intensi	ve use of mach	ninery and equipme	ent for preparation
Growth rate of the	and leveling of ground (rice) and h	arvester, Its manageme	ent is public-pri	vate partners	hip approach o	of service centers /	machine parks.
yield of the commodity <i>i</i> (ţYl _i)	D. Intensive Horticultural Produc	tion: Establishment of	at least 80 g	reenhouses c	of 0.25 ha for	the production of	various vegetable
	seedlings for access by small produ	icers throughout the ye	ear.				
	E. Intensive Production of Eggs a	nd Chickens: to increa	se national pro	oduction of c	hickens throug	sh production of fe	ed using local raw
	material (soy and corn) to reduce i	mports of chickens.					
	 <u>Achievements on agriculture y</u> 	rield levels:					
	ltem -		Baseline Valu	e (average 2	011-2015)		- 2016
		2011 2012	2013	2014	2015	Average	2010
		0	ommodity 1 =I	Maize			

1. Total production of commodity 1 (Pd ₁)	Data Not available	1.177.390	1.173.709	1.357.220	1.017.157		1.107.000
2. Total size of the production unit of the commodity $1 (L_1)$	Data Not available	1.572.009	1.722.500	1.703.500	1.570.526		1.636.000
3. Yield of commodity 1(Y1=Pd1 /L1)	Data Not available	0,75	0,86	0,8	0,65	0,77	0,67
Growth rate of the yield of the commodity 1, ţYl ₁ = 100 x(Y1 ₂₀₁₆ - Y1 _{av} .) / Y2 _{av} .				(13%	5)		
		Со	mmodity 2 =	Rice			
1. Total production of commodity 2 (Pd ₂)	Data Not available	101.548	114.012	155.741	126.883		153000
2. Total size of the production unit of the commodity 2 (L_2)	Data Not available	363.400	403.700	376.500	230.600		236500
3. Yield of commodity 2 (Y ₂ =Pd ₂ /L ₂)	Data Not available	0,28	0,28	0,41	0,55	0,38	0,65
Growth rate of the yield of the commodity 2, ξ YI ₂ = 100 x(Y2 ₂₀₁₆ - Y2 _{av} .) / Y2 _{av} .				719	%		
		Com	modity 3 =Co	issava			
1. Total production of commodity 3 (Pd ₃)	Data Not available	4.098.997	Data Not available	4.136.265	3.579.078		3.418.000
2. Total size of the production unit of the commodity 3 (L_3)	Data Not available	762.598	Data Not available	870.300	620,6052		609.000
3. Yield of commodity 3 (Y ₃ =Pd ₃ /L ₃)		5,38	Data Not	4,75	5,77	5,30	5,61

			available				
Growth rate of the yield of the commodity 3, $\xi YI_3 = 100$ $x(Y3_{2016} - Y3_{av.}) / Y3_{av.}$		<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	5,93	%	<u></u>	
		Con	nmodity 4 =C	ashew			
1. Total production of commodity 4 (Pd ₄)	112.753 <i>,</i> 4 5	65.092,64	83.140,92	63.080,53	81.240,95		104.179,2
2. Total size of the production unit of the commodity 4 (L_4)							
3. Yield of commodity 4 (Y ₄ =Pd ₄ /L ₄)							
Growth rate of the yield of the commodity 4, ţYl ₄ = 100 x(Y4 ₂₀₁₆ - Y4 _{av.}) / Y4 _{av.}	The Cashe	ew subsector	•	ure cashew p are from the		eas. Most of the prod	ucers of this
		Com	modity 5=	Cotton			
1. Total production of commodity 5 (Pd ₅)	70 649	184 141	64 797	96 153	47 900		40 629
2. Total size of the production unit of the commodity 5 (L ₅)	128,000	188,890	142,857	157,143	120,000		101404
3. Yield of commodity 5 (Y ₅ =Pd ₅ /L ₅)	0,552	0,975	0,472	0,522	0,382	0,581	0,421
Growth rate of the yield of the commodity 5, $\xi YI_5 = 100 x(Y5_{2016} - Y5_{av.}) / Y5_{av.}$				(57%))		
X(132016 - 13av.) / 13av.				chorioc			
1. Total production of		Com	nmodity 6 =Fis	sneries			

3. Yield of commodity 5							
$(Y_6=Pd_6/L_6)$							
Growth rate of the yield of the commodity 6, $\xi YI_6 = 100$ x(Y5 ₂₀₁₆ - Y5 _{av.}) / Y6 _{av.}	-	-	_	-	-	-	
	•	Comm	odity 7= Ch	nickens			
1 Total production of commodity 7 (Pd7)	40 502.6	52 679.1	55 633.7	63 631.1	75 161.2		75 769
2.Total size of the production unit of the commodity 7 (L7)							
3. Yield of commodity 7 (Y7=Pd7 /L7)							
Growth rate of the yield of the commodity7, ţYI7 = (Y7 ₂₀₁₆ - Y7 _{av} .) / Y7 _{av} .							
/ · · · av.							
Insert more commo -Rice, -Maize, -Legumes, -Co	<u></u>	he 11 AU prio	ority commo	dities are:			let.
Insert more commo	<u></u>	he 11 AU prio	ority commo	dities are:			let.
Insert more commo	<u></u>	he 11 AU prio	ority commo Dairy, -Poultr	dities are:			let.
Insert more commo -Rice, -Maize, -Legumes, -Co Average Growth rate for all commodities reported , ţYI=	<u>T</u> l otton, -Oil po	he 11 AU prio	ority commo Dairy, -Poultr	dities are:			let.
Insert more commo -Rice, -Maize, -Legumes, -Co Average Growth rate for all commodities reported , ţYI= average (ţYI;)	Ti otton, -Oil po fic comment	he 11 AU pric	ority commo Dairy, -Poultr Overall	dities are: y and fisheries	s, -Cassava,	Sorghum and -Mil	
Insert more commo -Rice, -Maize, -Legumes, -Co Average Growth rate for all commodities reported , ţYI= average (ţYIi) Sources of verification and Speci	Ti otton, -Oil po fic comment aize, Cassav	he 11 AU prio alm, -Beef, -L	ority commo Dairy, -Poultry Overall ce, Chicken,	dities are: y and fisheries Cashew Nuts	s, -Cassava,	Sorghum and -Mil	y of Agrici

<u>PC 3.3</u>

• Specific actions taken so far for the target:

 Post-Harvest Loss

 • Achievements onPost Harvest Loss:

<u>Target:</u>

Halve (decrease by 50%) the current levels of Post-Harvest Losses (PHL), by the year 2025 from the year 2015.

Indicator:

Reduction rate of Post-Harvest Losses for (at least) the 5 national priority commodities, and possibly for the 11 AU agriculture priority commodities(**tPHL**)

		Baseline Value (average 2011-2015)								
Item	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Aver age	2016			
		Commo	dity 1							
1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 1, Pd1	Data Not available	1.177.390	1.173.709	1.357.220	1.017.1 57		1.107.000			
- Loss at Harvesting; Lhv	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not availabl e	avail able	Data Not available			
- Loss at Storage; Lst	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not availabl e		Data Not available			
- Loss at Transport; Ltr	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not availabl e		Data Not available			
- Loss at Processing; LPr	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not availabl e		Data Not available			
- Loss at Packaging; Lpc	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not availabl e		Data Not available			

- Loss at Sales; Lsl	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not availabl e	Data Not available
2. Loss (million tons) of the commodity 1, Ls ₁ = Lhv + Lst + Ltr + Lpr + Lpc + Lsl	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not availabl e	Data Not Available
3.Post Harvest Loss for the commodity 1, PHL ₁ = (Ls ₁ /Pd ₁ 100)x Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not availabl e	Data Not available
5. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the commodity 1, <u>t</u> PHL ₁ = 100 x (PHL _{1.av} - PHL _{1.2016}) / PHL _{1.av}		C	N/	A		
		Commodit	y 2=Rice	1	T	
1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 2, Pd ₂	f NãoDisponí vel	101.548	114.012	155.741	126.883	153000
- Loss at Harvesting; Lhv	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not availabl e	Data Not available
	Data Not	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not available	Data Not availabl	Data Not available
- Loss at Storage; Lst	available				e	

		available	available	available	availabl	available
					e	
					e	
		Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	Data Not	available	available	available	Not	available
 Loss at Processing; LPr 	available				availabl	
					е	
		Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	D	available	available	available	Not	available
 Loss at Packaging; Lpc 	Data Not available				availabl	
	available				е	
		Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	Data Not	available	available	available	Not	available
- Loss at Sales; Lsl	available				availabl	
					е	
		Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
2. Loss (million tons) of the	Data Not	available	available	available	Not	available
commodity 2, Ls ₂ = Lhv + Lst +	available				availabl	
Ltr + Lpr + Lpc + Lsl	available				е	
		Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
3.Post Harvest Loss for the	_	available	available	available	Not	available
commodity 2, PHL ₂ = (Ls ₂ /Pd ₂)x	Data Not available				availabl	
100	avallable				e	
4. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the						
commodity 2, <u>tPHL</u> ₂ = 100						
x (PHL _{2.av} - PHL _{i.2016}) /						
PHL _{2.av}						

1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 3, Pd ₃	N/A	4.098.997	N/A	4.136.265	3.579.0 78	3.418.000
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	
	available	available	available	available	Not	
 Loss at Harvesting; Lhv 					availabl	N/A
					е	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	available	available	available	available	Not	available
 Loss at Storage; Lst 					availabl	
					е	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	available	available	available	available	Not	available
- Loss at Transport; Ltr					availabl	
					е	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	available	available	available	available	Not	available
 Loss at Processing; LPr 					availabl	
					е	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	available	available	available	available	Not	available
 Loss at Packaging; Lpc 					availabl	
					е	
	Data not	Data not	Data not	Data nat	Data not	Data Not
- Loss at Sales; Lsl	available	available	Data not available	Data not available	availabl e	available
	Data not	Data not	Data not	Data not	Data not	Data Not
2. Loss (million tons) of the	available	available	available	available	availabl	available
commodity 3, Ls ₃ = Lhv + Lst + Ltr + Lpr + Lpc + Lsl					е	

	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
3.Post Harvest Loss for the	available	available	available	available	Not	available
commodity 3, $PHL_3 = (Ls_3 / Pd_5)x$	available	available	available	available	availabl	available
100					e	
					C	
5. Reduction rate of Post-						
Harvest Losses of the						
commodity 1, $\text{PHL}_3 = 100$						
x (PHL _{3.av} - PHL _{3.2016}) / PHL _{3.av}						
FTTE3.av	Co	mmodity 4= (Cashew Nuts			
1. Production (million tons) of		65.092,6	83.140,9		81.240,	
the commodity 4, Pd ₄	112.753,45	4	2	63.080,53	95	104.179,25
•	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	available	available	available	available	Not	available
- Loss at Harvesting; Lhv					availabl	
-					e	
					•	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	available	available	available	available	Not	available
- Loss at Storage; Lst					availabl	
					е	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	available	available	available	available	Not	available
- Loss at Transport; Ltr					availabl	
					е	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	available	available	available	available	Not	available
- Loss at Processing; LPr					availabl	
-					e	
					-	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
 Loss at Packaging; Lpc 					Not	

	available	available	available	available	availabl	available
					е	
					.	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	available	available	available	available	Not	available
- Loss at Sales; Lsl					availabl	
					е	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
2. Loss (million tons) of the	available	available	available	available	Not	available
commodity 4, $Ls_4 = Lhv + Lst +$					availabl	
Ltr + Lpr + Lpc + Lsl					e	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
3.Post Harvest Loss for the	available	available	available	available	Not	available
commodity 4, PHL ₄ = (Ls ₄ /Pd ₄)x					availabl	
100						
					е	
5. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the commodity 4, ţPHL ₄ = 100 x (PHL _{4.av} - PHL _{4.2016}) /			Data not	available	e	
5. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the commodity 4, <u>tPHL</u> ₄ = 100			Data not	available	e	
5. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the commodity 4, $ cdots PHL_4 = 100$ x (PHL _{4.av} - PHL _{4.2016}) /		Commodity		available	e	
5. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the commodity 4, $PHL_4 = 100$ x (PHL _{4.av} - PHL _{4.2016}) /	70 649	Commodity 184 141		available 96 153	e 47 900	40 629
5. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the commodity 4, <u>tPHL4</u> = 100 x (PHL4.av ⁻ PHL4.2016) / PHL4.av	70 649 Data Not		5=Cotton			40 629 Data Not
5. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the commodity 4, <u>tPHL4</u> = 100 x (PHL4.av ⁻ PHL4.2016) / PHL4.av		184 141	64 797	96 153	47 900	
5. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the commodity 4, <u>tPHL4</u> = 100 x (PHL4.av ⁻ PHL4.2016) / PHL4.av	Data Not	184 141 Data Not	5=Cotton 64 797 Data Not	96 153 Data Not	47 900 Data	Data Not
5. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the commodity 4, $PHL_4 = 100$ x (PHL _{4.av} - PHL _{4.2016}) / PHL _{4.av} 1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 5, Pd ₅	Data Not	184 141 Data Not	5=Cotton 64 797 Data Not	96 153 Data Not	47 900 Data Not	Data Not
5. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the commodity 4, $PHL_4 = 100$ x (PHL _{4.av} - PHL _{4.2016}) / PHL _{4.av} 1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 5, Pd ₅	Data Not available	184 141 Data Not available	64 797 Data Not available	96 153 Data Not available	47 900 Data Not availabl e	Data Not available
5. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the commodity 4, $PHL_4 = 100$ x (PHL _{4.av} - PHL _{4.2016}) / PHL _{4.av} 1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 5, Pd ₅	Data Not available Data not	184 141 Data Not available Data not	5=Cotton 64 797 Data Not available Data not	96 153 Data Not available Data not	47 900 Data Not availabl e Data not	Data Not available Data not
5. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the commodity 4, \$PHL4 = 100 x (PHL4.av- PHL4.2016) / PHL4.av 1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 5, Pd5	Data Not available	184 141 Data Not available	64 797 Data Not available	96 153 Data Not available	47 900 Data Not availabl e	Data Not available

	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	available	available	available	available	Not	available
- Loss at Transport; Ltr	available	available	available	available	availabl	available
					e	
					e	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	available	available	available	available	Not	available
 Loss at Processing; LPr 					availabl	
					е	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	available	available	available	available	Not	available
 Loss at Packaging; Lpc 					availabl	
					e	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
	available	available	available	available	Not	available
- Loss at Sales; Lsl					availabl	
					е	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
2. Loss (million tons) of the	available	available	available	available	Not	available
commodity 5, Ls ₅ = Lhv + Lst +					availabl	
Ltr + Lpr + Lpc + Lsl					е	
	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data Not	Data	Data Not
3.Post Harvest Loss for the	available	available	available	available	Not	available
commodity 5, PHL ₅ = (Ls ₅ /Pd ₅)x					availabl	
100					е	
Reduction rate of Post- larvest Losses of the ommodity 5, <u>t</u> PHL ₅ = 100 x			1			1
PHL _{5.2015} - PHL _{5.2016}) / PHL _{5.2015}						

	Insert more commodities if necessary, and the 11 AU priority commodities (if not already listed). <u>The 11 AU priority commodities are:</u> -Rice, -Maize, -Legumes, -Cotton, -Oil palm, -Beef, -Dairy, -Poultry and fisheries, -Cassava, -Sorghum and -Millet.						
	Overall						
	Average reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses for all the commoditiesreported, $\pmu PHL_t = average (\pmu PHL_{i,t})$ Data Not Available						
	 Sources of verification and other specific comments: Data not available for Post-Harvest loss. 						
<u>PC 3.4</u>	Specific actions taken so far for the target:						
Social Protection	• In 2015 The National Institute for Natural Disaster Management had a budget for natural disaster victims of around US \$						
<i>Target:</i> Commit within national budgets, budget lines that amount to 100% of the total resource requirements for coverage of the vulnerable social groups, from 2015 to 2025, for use to support social protection initiatives, and to address any eventual disasters and emergencies with food and nutrition security implications.	 7,894,975.5; this amount was directed to the victims of calamities in the 2014/2015 season with focus on floods Of Licungo in Zambézia and floods in Niassa, Nampula and Cabo Delgado. In 2016 \$20,235,065.2 USD was made available for the following activities: Food assistance to 1.4 million people affected by drought and reinforcement of support from partners; and Preparedness actions and humanitarian assistance for people affected by floods recorded during the rainy season 2015/206. The Ministry of Gender, Children and Social Action to ensure assistance and social integration of people living in poverty and vulnerability in 2015 were assisted 204,492 children in difficult situations against 155,048 in 2016. Under the direct social action and productive social action programs, 438,875 households were assisted in 2015, corresponding to about 16% of5 years government Plan (PQG-2015-2019), PQG planned 17%. By 2016, 478,501 households were served, corresponding to 17% of households in poverty and vulnerability, below the 20% planned by 2016. 						

Budget lines on social protection as percentage of the total resource requirements for coverage of the vulnerable social groups (<u>tSP</u>) • In the scope of Social Protection, the Ministry of Education and Human Development is implementing the National School Feeding Program and aims to reduce in a sustainable way the negative impact of food insecurity and malnutrition in the education sector, namely: Poor school attendance, school drop-out, absenteeism and school failure. To ensure the success of this programme there is integration between the different sectors of government-creation of the Multi-sector group.

Achievements on social protection:

Item	2015	2016
1. Budget Allocation to social protection Cash Transfers for food and cash reserves, BA _{CT}	Data not Available	Data not Available
2. Budget Allocation to social protection Emergency Food Supplies, BA _{EFS}	7.894.975	20.235.065,2
3. Budget Allocation to social protection School Feeding, BA _{SF}	69.598,4	150.182,7
4. Budget Allocation to social protection Other protective services , BA _{Other}	77. 687. 640	82. 290. 770
5. Total Budget Allocation to social protection, $TBA_{SP} = BA_{CT} + BA_{EFS} + BA_{SF} + BA_{Other}$	85.652.213,4	102.676.017,9
6. Total Budget Requirements for social protection, TBR _{SP}	108.124.995	109.650.245,5
Budget lines on social protection as percentage of the total resource requirements for coverage of the vulnerable social groups (in %), tSP = 100 x TBA _{SP} / TBR _{SP}	79%	94 %

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

These data were extracted from the Ministry of Gender, Children and Social Action, Ministry of Education and Human Development and National Institute for Natural Disaster Management.

<u>PC 3.5i</u>

Specific actions taken so far for the target:

Food security and Nutrition

Target:

Bring down child stunting to 10%, by the year 2025.

Indicator:

Prevalence of stunting (St)

In order to reverse the current situation and achieve the targets on of Food and Nutrition Insecurity and Chronic Malnutrition indicators advocated in the 5 years government Plan (PQG-2015-2019), strategic actions are being taken at the level of coordination as well as implementation, in a holistic and multi-sectoral approach to know:

•Improve the insertion and budgeting of nutrition-sensitive interventions in sectoral Economic and Social Plan (PES). This aspect has been improving in recent years, with technical meetings with government sectors in the planning of actions to be included in sectoral PES, for example: Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security; Ministry of Health; Ministry of Industry and Commerce; Ministry of Education and Human Development; Ministry of Gender, Child and Social Action, Ministry of Land, Rural Development Environment, Ministry of Public Works, Housing and Water Resources;

• Promote the production and consumption of foods of high nutritional value at the community level, prioritizing the use and utilization of locally produced products.

• Prioritize geographical areas: populations with high population density and higher rates of malnutrition;

• Focus on interventions under the most vulnerable: window of the first 1000 days of life + rural areas;

•Ensure that all defined actions are implemented efficiently: in the same place, at the same time, for a minimum period (> 3 years), with minimum coverage (≥80%) and quality.

• This stratetegic action is based on a Multi-sectoral Action Plan for the Reduction of Chronic Malnutrition in Mozambique 2011-2014 (2020).

Achievements on stunting:

ltm	2015	2016
Prevalence of stunting (% of children under 5 years old), St	43%	Data Not Available

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

-These data were extracted from the Technical Secretariat for Food and Nutrition Security (SETSAN), the baseline for the year

	2013 food security, the next survey will be done in 2019					
<u>PC 3.5ii</u>	 Specific actions taken so far for the target: 					
Food security and Nutrition	• Mozambique has developed and approved the Multi-sectoral Action Plan for the Reduction of Chronic Malnutrition in					
<u>Target:</u> Bring down	 Mozambique (PAMRDC 2011-2020), which aims to reduce chronic malnutrition in children aged 0-5, from 43 per cent (IDS 2011) to 30 percent in 2015 and 20 percent in 2020. 					
underweight to 5% or less, by the year	• The actions taken to achieve the goals established in the PAMRDC (2011-2020) are:					
2025.	• Strengthen interventions with an impact on the health and nutrition of women of childbearing potential before and during					
<u>Indicator:</u>	pregnancy and lactation,					
Prevalence of underweight (Uw)	• Strengthen nutritional activities aimed at children in the first two years of life,					
	• Strengthen activities with an impact on the nutritional status of adolescents,					
	Households with a view to improve access to and use of foods of high nutritional value.					
	Achievements onunderweight:					
	ltem 2015 2016					
	Prevalence of underweight (% of children under 5 years old), Uw 21% Data not Available					
	 Sources of verification and other specific comments: 					
	These data were extracted from the Technical Secretariat for Food and Nutrition Security (SETSAN), the baseline for the year 2013 food security, the next survey will be conducted in 2019 and the PAMRDC (2011-2020).					

<u>PC 3.5iii</u> Food security and Nutrition	 Specific actions taken so far for the target: Actions taken to reduce chronic acute malnutrition are reflected in the PAMRDC (2011-2020) 					
<u>Target:</u> Bring down wasting to 5% or less, by the	 Achiev 	rements onwasting:				
year 2025.		Item	2015	2016		
<u>Indicator:</u> Prevalence of wasting (W)		Prevalence of wasting (% of children under 5 old), W	7%	Data not Available		
	Source	es of verification and other specific co	mments:			
		data were extracted from the Technical ty, the next survey will be done in 2019.	Secretariat for Food	and Nutrition Secur	ity (SETSAN), the baseline in 2013 food	
<u>PC 3.5iv</u> Food security and Nutrition	-	c actions taken so far for the target: rements onundernourishment:				
<u>Target:</u>		Item	2015	2016		
Bring down undernourishment to 5% or less, by the year 2025.		Proportion of the population that is undernourished (% of the country's population), U	DatanotAvailable	DatanotAvailable		
Indicator: Proportion of the population that is undernourished (U)	 Sources of verification and other specific comments: - 					
<u>PC 3.5v</u> Food security and Nutrition	 Specific actions taken so far for the target: - <u>Achievements onMinimum Dietary Diversity-Women</u>: 					
<u>Target:</u>		Item	2015	2016		
Increase the proportion of women at reproductive age		1. Proportion of minimum Dietary Diversity-Women, MDDW	Data not available	Data not available		

that attain the minimum dietary diversity by 50%, by the year 2025. Increase rate of the proportion of Minimum Dietary Diversity-Women (tMDDW)	Increase rate of the proportion of Minimum Dietary Diversity-Women (in %), tMDDW = 100 x (MDDW ₂₀₁₆ - MDDW ₂₀₁₅) / MDDW ₂₀₁₅ • Sources of verification and other specific commondation of the specific commondation of th			
<u>PC 3.5vi</u>	Specific actions taken so far for the target:			
Food security and Nutrition	Actions taken to reduce chronic acute malnutriti	on are reflected in t	he CDMRD (2011-20)	20)
<u>Target:</u> Reach at least 50%	 Achievements on child Minimum Acceptable Die 	<u>t</u> :		
of children 6-23 months that have	Item	2015	2016	
the minimum acceptable diet by the year 2025.	Proportion of 6-23 months old children who meet the Minimum Acceptable Diet, MAD	11%	Data not available	
<u>Indicator:</u> Proportion of 6-23 months old children who meet the Minimum Acceptable Diet (MAD)	 Sources of verification and other specific contains These data were extracted from the Techni food security, the next survey will be done in 	cal Secretariat for I	Food and Nutrition S	ecurity (SETSAN), the baseline in 2013
<u>PC 4.1i</u>	 Specific actions taken so far for the target 	et:		
Agricultural GDP and Poverty	• MASA has dedicated special attention to the	e growth of GDP in A	Agriculture in the follo	owing areas:
Reduction	• Research: generation and transfer of te	chnologies (basic	seed, tissue culture	, artificial insemination, conservation
<u>Target:</u>	agriculture, post-harvest technology, vaccir	les and embryo pro	duction).	
Sustain annual	Assistance to Producers: Increase in the n		-	1 in 2015 to at least 2,061 in 2019 to
agricultural GDP growth of at least	improve the coverage and supply of produc			
6%, from the year 2015 to the year	Mechanization: establishment of agricultur			ve use of machinery and equipments for

2025.

Indicator:

Growth rate of the agriculture value added, in constant US dollars (aAgGDP) preparation and leveling of ground (rice) and harvester, Its managementispublic-private partnership approach of service centers / machines parks.

- Intensive production of vegetables: Establishment of at least 80 greenhouses of 0.25 ha for the production of various vegetable seedlings for access by small producers throughout the year.
- Intensive Production of Eggs and Chickens: to increase the national production of chickens, through the production of rations using local raw material (soy and corn) aiming at reducing chicken imports.
- Apropos of the fisheries sector, during 2015 and 2016 the following actions were carried out which contributed for good performance of the sector namely :
- Populated 1896 fish farming tanks in the year of 2015 throughout the national territory;
- Motorization of fishing boats;
- Training of 1329 small-scale fishermen and aquaculture and improved fishing and aquaculture techniques in the year of 2015;
- Construction and operation of 3 first sale fish markets;
- Monitoring of the exploitation of resources at sea and inland waters; and
- Granting of credit to the semi-industrial fishing sub-sector.

Achievements on agricultural GDP growth:

Itom	Baseline Value (average 2011-2015)					2016	
Item	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Average	2010
 Agriculture value added, in constant US dollars (AgGDP) 	2.781	2.836	2.889	2.997	3.090	2.918,60	3.171
3. Annual growth rate of Agriculture value added, in constant US dollars (tAgGDP)		2.0	1.9	3.7	3.1	2.7	2,6

	Growth rate of the agriculture value added, in constant US dollars(aAgGDP)
	 Sources of verification and Specific comments: Agriculture Added Value was provided by the National Statistical Institute (INE) (<i>www.ine.gov.mz</i>). The results indicate that the performance of the agricultural sector in 2016 was lower than the average of the previous for years. This may be explained by the poor performance of the world economy including Mozambique. On the other side of the spectrum, the effect of El Nino, which assaulted the southern and central regions of the country, which resulted in prolonged droughts and combined effects of heavy rains and cyclones contributed significantly to the poor performance of the sector. Whit the southern region was plagued by prolonged droughts, the central and northern regions of the country were plagued by heaverains, winds and cyclones that resulted in losses and factors of production.
PC 4.1ii Agricultural GDP and Poverty Reduction <u>Target:</u> Ensure that agriculture growth contribute to at least 50% to the overall poverty reduction target, from the year 2015 to the year 2025. <u>Stand-by for more research</u>	 Specific actions taken so far for the target: Achievements: Sources of verification and other specific comments: Stand-by for more research

<u>PC 4.1iii</u>

-Specific actions taken so far for the target:

Agricultural GDP and Poverty Reduction

Target:

Reduce poverty level by at least 50%, at national poverty line, from the year 2015 to the year 2025.

Indicator:

Reduction rate of poverty headcount ratio, at national poverty line, (dpovN) In Mozambique, poverty alleviation is associated to the development of agricultural sector. This is because agriculture is the mainstream source of income to 80% of the population and also contributes with about 23%-24% in GDP. Thus, the sector is pointed as being the main factor for the reduction of poverty.

In fact the Government of Mozambique through the Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security (MASA in Portuguese) has devoted particular attention to boost the GDP growth in the agriculture sector by putting in place a number of action with reference of:

- i. <u>Capacity building / Research</u>: Promotion of training courses to both farmers and agricultural officers; and technology transfer (e.g. techniques for basic seed production, artificial insemination, conservation agriculture, post-harvest technology, vaccines administration and embryo production).
- ii. <u>Assistance to Producers</u>: The government is committed to improve the coverage of extension officers throughout the country. Therefore, it has been designed an ambition project which intends to hire about 2.061extension officers by 2019. So far the government has contracted 1.261 technicians who are in charge of transferring technologies to farmers.
- iii. <u>Mechanization</u>: establishment of agricultural development incubators through Public Private Partnership (PPP) with an intensive use of machinery and equipment covering all stages of crop production. The government throughout the country in the six corridors of PEDSA 67 service centres.
- iv. <u>Intensive vegetable production</u>: In order to mitigate the impacts of climate change and climate patterns, MASA do assist farmers by providing farmers with 80 shade cloths of 0.25 ha every year.
- v. <u>Intensive Production of Poultry:</u> Aiming at reducing chicken imports, the government to increase the national production of chickens, through the production of rations using local raw material (soy and corn) aiming at reducing chicken imports.
 On the other hand, in the fisheries sector the following activities were carried out:
 - Fish-farming: In 2015, about 1896 tanks was populated with fishes throughout the country;
 - Motorization of craft for fishing;
 - Training of 1329 small-scale fishermen and aquacultures in improved fishing and aquaculture techniques in the year

2015;

- Construction and operation of 3 first sale fish markets;

- Monitoring of the exploitation of resources at sea and in territorial waters; and

- Granting of credit to the semi-industrial fishing sub-sector.
- Achievements on national poverty line:

		ltow		l	Baseline Value	9		2016
		Item —	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010
		1. Poverty headcount ratio at national poverty lines (% of population), (phrN)		69.4	54.1	54.7	46	
		Reduction rate of poverty headcount ratio, at national poverty line, dpovN = 100 x (phrN ₂₀₁₅ - phrN ₂₀₁₆)/ phrN ₂₀₁₅			22.04%	(1,1)	15.9	
		rces of verification and Spe a was picked from the 2014/2			l by INE availa	ble at <u>www.in</u>	e.gov.mz	
<u>PC 4.1iv</u> Agricultural GDP and Poverty Deduction	-	ific actions taken so far for th evements on international po	-					
Reduction					Baseline Val	ue		
<u>Target:</u>		Item	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	- 2016
Reduce poverty level by at least 50%, at								

international poverty line, from the year 2015 to the year 2025. Indicator: Reduction rate of poverty headcount ratio, at international poverty line, (dpovI)	1. Poverty headcount ratio at international poverty lines (% of population), phrl Reduction rate of poverty headcount ratio, at international poverty line, dpovl = 100 x (phrl ₂₀₁₅ - phrl ₂₀₁₆)/ phrl ₂₀₁₅ • Sources of verification and Specific comments:
PC 4.1v Agricultural GDP and Poverty Reduction <i>Target:</i> Contribute to poverty reduction by reducing the gap between the wholesale price and farm-gate price, by 50% by the year 2025, from the year 2015. <i>Indicator:</i> Reduction rate of the gap between the wholesale price and farmgate price (tfgws)	 Specific actions taken so far for the target: The Government intends to increase the national agricultural production by improving the marketing system. Thus the Government's commitment is evident in several documents and guidance instruments such as the Agricultural Marketing Strategy (ECA) 2006-2009, the Strategic Plan for Agrarian Development (PEDSA) 2014-2020, the Integrated Plan for Agricultural Marketing (PICA) and in the Operational Plan for Agricultural Marketing (POCA) which was recently launched by the executive. All the above instruments present a set of actions based on the country's economic and rural development policies aimed at fostering the growth and development of trade in agricultural products, inputs and services with a view to stimulate efficiency, effectiveness, equity and transparency for all actors in the agricultural marketing chain. The PEDSA, in particular, defines market access as one of the four fundamental pillars for the pursuit. Under PEDSA umbrella, the Government has carried out several activities aimed at boosting the agricultural market access, for example: Holding a national agrarian marketing fair every year in Maputo named <i>Feira Agricola, Comercial e Industrial de Maputo</i> (FACIM);
	2. Holding of agrarian marketing fairs in all Districts of the country, at least once a year;

- 3. Improving access to market information for producers through radio, TV and other communication systems;
- 4. Development of partnerships between producers and local agribusiness to overcome difficulties of family producers regarding access to agricultural inputs, market sales and improvement of agricultural technologies;
- 5. Promotion of contract production mainly for cash crops, such as cotton, sugar cane and cassava.

Also with the aim of catapulting the agrarian marketing, the Mozambican Head of State launched in the last May the first National Agricultural Marketing Forum of 2017, which laid the foundations for a new approaches to the marketing process. The new approaches have as main strategy the promotion the access of both internal and external markets, to empower the actors of the commercialization chain and to promote agro-processing for the improvement of the trade balance.

PRODUCT 1: BEANS					
Item	2015	2016			
1. Average weighted farm gate price , FgP	38.58	55.55			
2. Average weighted Wholesale/Market Price, WsP	51.86	79.8			
3. Gap between the wholesale price and farmgate price, Gfgws = 100 x (FgP - WsP)/WsP	-25.60%	-30.38%			
Reduction rate of the gap between the wholesale price and farmgate price (in %), tfgws = 100 x (Gfgws ₂₀₁₆ - Gfgws ₂₀₁₅) /Gfgws ₂₀₁₅	18.6	7%			
PRODUCT 2: SI	MALL GROUNDNUT				
Item	2015	2016			

• <u>Achievements on wholesale-farm-gate price gap</u>:

1. Average weighted farm gate price , FgP	40.12	59.62	
2. Average weighted Wholesale/Market Price, WsP	52.54	91.01	
3. Gap between the wholesale price and farmgate price, Gfgws = 100 x (FgP - WsP)/WsP	-23.63%	-34.49%	
Reduction rate of the gap between the wholesale price and farmgate price (in %), tfgws = 100 x (Gfgws ₂₀₁₆ - Gfgws ₂₀₁₅) /Gfgws ₂₀₁₅	45.90%		

PRODUCT 3: BIG GROUNDNUT

Item	2015	2016
1. Average weighted farm gate price , FgP	39.64	59.33
2. Average weighted Wholesale/Market Price, WsP	46.77	78.57
3. Gap between the wholesale price and farmgate price, Gfgws = 100 x (FgP - WsP)/WsP	-15.24%	-24.48%
Reduction rate of the gap between the wholesale price and farmgate price (in %), tfgws = 100 x (Gfgws ₂₀₁₆ - Gfgws ₂₀₁₅) /Gfgws ₂₀₁₅	60.63%	
PRODUC	T 4: COWPEA	
Item	2015	2016

1. Average weighted farm gate price , FgP	19.5	37.81	
2. Average weighted Wholesale/Market Price, WsP	31.13	54.39	
3. Gap between the wholesale price and farmgate price, Gfgws = 100 x (FgP - WsP)/WsP	-37.36%	-30.48%	
Reduction rate of the gap between the wholesale price and farmgate price (in %), tfgws = 100 x (Gfgws ₂₀₁₆ - Gfgws ₂₀₁₅) /Gfgws ₂₀₁₅	18.49%		

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

Prices of agricultural products were provided by the Agricultural Market Information System Unity (SIMA), which is a unit within the MASA and has the task of collecting, processing and disseminating information on the price dynamics of some agricultural products from the main agricultural markets of the country. However, SIMA does not cover all priority crops highlighted in PEDSA. For further clarification, please access the website: www.masa.gov.mz.

The results indicate that between 2015 and 2016, there was an increase in the price gap between the wholesaler and the retailer. Of the analysed products, the main highlight goes to large peanut (60.63%), followed by small peanuts (45.90%), beans (18.67%) and cowpea (18.49%).

However, analyses of the annual assessment of the gap between wholesale and producer prices suggest different results. In 2016, there was a larger price gap, with peanuts being the most prominent product with 34.49%, followed by cowpea (30.48%), beans (30.38%) and large peanuts (24.48%).

For the year 2015, the data indicates that the largest gap was recorded in cowpea (37.36%), beans (25.60%), small peanuts (23.63%), and large peanuts (15.24%).

The high price gap between the wholesalers and producers registered in 2016 may be related to several factors, such as the

	economic crisis that has shaken several countries, including Mozambique, and the high operational costs, mainly of
	transportation from production sites to the centres of consumption due to political - military tension in the main production
	zones, especially in the Central and Northern regions of the country.
<u>PC 4.2</u>	Specific actions taken so far for the target:
Inclusive PPPs for	
commodity value chains	With the approval of the Law no. 15/2011, of August 10, Mozambique began formally with the process of constitution and
Channs	operationalization of Public - Private Partnerships (PPP). This law arises from the need of the State to seek for sharing
<u>Target:</u>	responsibilities with the private sector in the management of certain services and to provide certain goods to the population
Establish and/or strengthen inclusive	with the necessary efficiency and quality for the benefit of citizens.
public-private	with the necessary enciency and quanty for the benefit of cluzens.
partnerships (PPP) for at least five (5)	The operationalization of PPPs is done through counterparts that can be granted by the contracting State or through the sale of
priority agricultural	those services or goods produced by private contractors.
commodity value chains with strong	
linkage to	Therefore, the Government has been involved in efforts to attract the private sector to become more involved in PPPs. As an
smallholder	example, the Mozambican Head of State launched the Infrastructure Forum in June 2017. In this forum, the Government has
agriculture, by 2025.	launched a portfolio of projects already identified in four strategic areas, namely: Transport and Communications; Mineral
	Resources and Energy; Housing and Water Resources; and Agriculture and Food Security.
<u>Indicator:</u> Number of priority	Also under implementation of the National Plan of Investment (NAIP) the Government has identified 15 value chains, of which 7
agricultural	are priorities, which are being developed along the 6 development corridors. Under the umbrella of NAIP were established
commodity value chains for which a	
PPP is established	Service Centers which aim to increase the current levels of production and agricultural productivity. A total of 77 Agricultural
with strong linkage to	Service Centers (CSAs) were established, of which 25 CSAs are public (12 in the South, 7 in the Central and 6 in the North) and
smallholder agriculture (Nc)	52 are Private (18 CSAs in the South, 18 in the Central zone and 16 in the Northern provinces). In particular, the Agricultural
- · · /	Service Centres were implemented in the scope of PPP framework.
	Also in the purpose of building farmers capacity, under PPP framework, 513 tractors were allocated with the respective
	implements of which 162 in the South zone, 213 in the Central zone and 138 in the North zone, corresponding to a 100%

allocation level of the tractors made available under the National Agricultural Mechanization Program.

Despite the fact that, a huge investment has been applied to boost farmers capacity the Program outputs remain unknown which demands for more research.

• Achievements on priority agricultural commodity value chains that involve smallholder agriculture :

Item	2016
1. Priority commodity value chains, list {PC _i }	Rice, maize, cassava, poultry, cotton and cashewnut
- Total volume of trade for the priority commodity i, V_{Ti}	Not available
- Volume of trade between smallholders and target buyers of the the priority commodity i, V_{smhi}	Not available
2. Percent of volume of trade between smallholders and target buyers of the priority commodity <i>i</i> , $t_{smhi} = V_{smhi}/V_{Ti}$	Not available
- Number of smallholders integrated into the value chain of the priority commodity i, N_{smhi}	Not available
- Total suppliers that are supplying the market of the value chain of the priority commodity i, <i>NT</i> _i	Not available
3. Percentage of smallholders as part of the total suppliers, supplying that market of the priority commodity i, $\eta_{smhi} = N_{smhi}/N_{Ti}$	Not available
4. Priority commodity value chains for which a PPP is established with strong linkage to smallholder agriculture, list {PCsmhi} = {PCi / $(t_{smhi} \times \eta_{smhi}) \ge 25\%$ }	
Number of priority agricultural commodity value chains for which a PPP is established with strong linkage to smallholder agriculture, Nc = count (list {PCsmhi})	

	• Source -	es of verification and other specific comments:		
PC 4.3 Youth job in agriculture <u>Target:</u> Create job opportunities for at least 30% of the youth in agricultural value chains, by the year 2025. <u>Indicator:</u> Percentage of youth that is engaged in	The Go to stin numbe 1. Crea 2. Enco 3. Ider	ic actions taken so far for the target: overnment of Mozambique upholds its commitmen nulate the employability of young people in the a er of actions were carried out in this area, in particu- ation of agrarian credit lines for young people; ouragement of youth entrepreneurship supported nuffication of opportunities for young people and p untry and overseas;	agricultural sector. As an exa ular: by training programs with a g	ample, during the period under review, a greater impact in rural areas;
new job opportunities in agriculture value chains(ţYth)	 <u>Achiev</u> 	rements on youth employment: Item	2016	
		1. Total number of youth at working age in the country, $\ensuremath{TN_{Yth\textit{i}}}$	8,619,429	
		- Number of youth who do any agriculture related work as paid employees for any agriculture entreprise or SME (<i>AgN</i> _{yth} E)	Not available	-

- Number of youth who work as self-employed in their own business or profession or on their own farm (AgN _{yth} SE)	1,906,696
- Number of youth who work 15 hours per week or more as unpaid workers in a family-operated enterprise (<i>AgN_{yth}FE</i>)	4,715,700
2. Number of youth that is engaged in new jobs in agricultural value chains, (cumulative counting from the year 2015), $AgN_{Yth} = AgN_{yth}E + AgN_{yth}SE$ + $AgN_{yth}FE$	6,622,396
Percentage of youth that is engaged in new job opportunities in agriculture value chains, tYth = 100 x AgN _{Yth} / TN _{Yth}	76.83%

Sources of verification and other specific comments:

The total number of young people of working age in the country and of young people working in their own fields was provided by INE (<u>www.ine.gov.mz</u>).

The number of young people who work 15 hours a week or more in family farms, but not self-employed, was picked from the IAI Reports (*InquéritoAgrárioIntegrado*). Although IAI questionnaire does not specify the number of minimum hours in which young people work, for the purposes of this Report it was assumed that IAI data capture the information requested. This decision is due to the conclusion that the IAI captures information about people living in the same family. Therefore, it will be assumed that these work more than 15 hours in the family farms.

<u>PC 4.4</u>

• Specific actions taken so far for the target:

Women participation in Agriculture

<u>Target:</u>

Ensure that 20% of rural women have access to productive assets, including land, credit, inputs and financial services and information (empowered) by 2023.

Indicator:

Proportion of rural women that are empowered in agriculture, (tWE) •Over the years, the Government of Mozambique has demonstrated a great commitment to the empowerment of women in all spheres of society. In this context, the Government approved and operationalized several guiding instruments, with reference to the Gender Strategy in the Agrarian Sector 2005 - 2010, approved in 2005 as well as the integration of gender aspects in the current legislation. Moreover, the Government is working on designing the Gender Strategy for the Agrarian Sector 2016-2025 which aims to increase the level of women's involvement, especially in the agrarian sector.

•In order to improve women's agricultural production and productivity levels, the Government through the Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security (MASA) has been carrying out specific gender support activities, for instance under the implementation of Farmer Field Approach the government has been paying particular attention to the involvement of women

Achievements on Women empowerment:

Item	2016
1. Total number of women engaged in agriculture, Ntw	5,143,305
- Number of women that have: a) Input in productive decisions and b) Autonomy in production, (NDE_1)	1,258,412
2. Proportion of women that make Decisions about agricultural production, $DE_1 = NDE_1 / Ntw$	24.46%
- Number of women that have: a)Ownership of assets, b)Purchase, sale or transfer of assets, c)Access to and decisions about credit (NDE $_2$)	6,435
3. Proportion of women that have Access to and decision-making power about productive resources, $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} / \frac{1}{2}$	0.125%
- Number of women that have Control over use of income (NDE $_3$)	1,258,412
4. Proportion of women that have Control of use of income, $\frac{1}{2}DE_3 = NDE_3 / Ntw$	24,46%

- Number of women that have: a) Group member and b) Speaking in public (NDE ₄)	1,593,300
5. Proportion of women that have Leadership in the community, $\frac{1}{2}DE_4 = NDE_4 / Ntw$	29,43%
- Number of women that have: a) Workload and b) Leisure (NDE ₅)	Not Available
6. Proportion of women that have time allocation for leisure, $\frac{1}{2}DE_5 = NDE_5 / Ntw$	Not Available
7. Number of women empowered in agriculture, $NwE = f (NDE_1, NDE_2, NDE_3, NDE_4, NDE_5)$ using mathematical set method.	4,116,559
Proportion of rural women that are empowered in agriculture, twE = 100 x NwE / Ntw	80%
of verification and other specific comments:	
a in the table were taken from the Integrated Agrarian Survey (IAI). However, due to la	ack of data regarding 2016 since
ey was not carried out data for that specific year was determined using proxy indicators	s techniques. Thus, data from the
5 was considered as the same for 2016.	
	 5. Proportion of women that have Leadership in the community, tDE4 = NDE4 / Ntw Number of women that have: a) Workload and b) Leisure (NDE5) 6. Proportion of women that have time allocation for leisure, tDE5 = NDE5 / Ntw 7. Number of women empowered in agriculture, NwE = f (NDE1, NDE2, NDE3, NDE4, NDE5) using mathematical set method. Proportion of rural women that are empowered in agriculture, tWE = 100 x NwE / Ntw a in the table were taken from the Integrated Agrarian Survey (IAI). However, due to labeled and the specific comments:

For this study, it was considered that women, who have autonomy in production and have control over the use of income, are female heads of household.

While the number of women belonging to a group and expressing themselves publicly, it was provided by the Ministry of Gender, Child and Social Action (MGCAS).

Specific actions taken so far for the target:

Intra-African Trade in agriculture commodities and services

<u>Target:</u>

PC 5.1

Triple intra-African trade in agricultural commodities and services, by the year 2025 from the year 2015.

Indicator:

Growth rate of the value of trade of agricultural commodities and services within Africa, in constant US dollars (ţIAT) With the aim of improving the business environment, as well as establishing facilities for cross-border trade of goods and services in the African Continent, the Government of Mozambique has signed several bilateral agreements. In 1996, Mozambique signed the SADC trade protocol, which has being implemented since 1stJanuary 2001.

The SADC protocol called for the gradual reduction of tariffs and the removal of non-tariff barriers by 2008, at which time 85% of goods would have zero tariffs. Thus, in the light of this Protocol since January 2008, about 85% of the products in the customs tariff in Mozambique are already liberalized. Meanwhile, from all SADC countries that ratified the protocol with Mozambique excluding Congo and Angola, South Africa was the last country to benefit from the full liberalization on importing and exporting goods, which took place in 2015.

In addition to the Protocol signed with the countries of the SADC region, Mozambique is in the process of negotiating with the countries of other blocs in Africa. Two agreements are in the process of negotiation: one with the COMESA countries and another tripartite protocol involving three SADC, COMESA and East Africa Country (EAC) blocs.

• <u>Achievements on Intra-African Trade for agriculture commodities and services</u>:

Item	2015	2016
i)- Value of intra- African <u>imports</u> for agriculture <u>goods</u> , IAMg	225,321,167	212,028,302
ii)- Value of intra- African imports for agriculture services, IAMs	Not available	Not available
iii)- Value of intra- African <u>exports</u> for agriculture <u>goods</u> , IAXg	58,912,424	39,457,211
iv)- Value of intra- African <u>exports</u> for agriculture <u>services</u> , IAXs	Not available	Not available

	4. Value of intra- African trade (imports and exports) for agriculture goods and services, in constant US dollars 2010, IAT = IAMg + IAMs + IAXg + IAXs	284,233,591	251,485,512		
	Growth rate of the value of trade of agricultural commodities and services within Africa, in constant US dollars (in %), ξ IAT = 100 x (IAT ₂₀₁₆ - IAT ₂₀₁₅) / IAT ₂₀₁₅	(11.52%)			
	Sources of verification and Specific comments:				
	-The information was provided by the INE. However, the data are aggregated not making the any distinct between goods services for both years. The weak performance of the rate of the growth of the trade in 2016 may be due to the econorecession which the country experienced in 2016. It is important to realize that there is no available information on agricult services. Both the Central Bank of Mozambique and the National Institute of Statistics were not able to provide such information.				
<u>PC 5.2i</u> Intra-African Trade Policies and institutional conditions.	 Specific actions taken so far for the target: -In order to facilitate the entry of people and goods within the Country, in the last two years, the Government signed several bilateral agreements with other Countries, highlighting: 				
<u>Target:</u> Fully establish trade facilitation measures by reaching 100% of	- <u>Visa Facilitation Agreement between the Republic of Mozambique and the Republic of Angola</u> . This memorandum was signed in February 2016 and its main object is to speed up the issuing visas to the citizens of both countries within five (5) working days from the date of the request and with duration of stay for a maximum period of 90 days;				
Trade Facilitation Index by 2025.	- Addendum to the Visa Waiver Agreement on Diplomatic, Service and Ordinary Passports between the Republic of Mozambique and the Republic of Tanzania. This agreement was signed in May 2015 and grants the citizens of both countries the right to enter and remain				
<u>Indicator:</u> Trade Facilitation Index(TFI)	the territory of each of the parties, free of visas, for a period of up to 90 days from the previous period of 30 days.				
110EX(171)	- <u>Visa Waiver Agreement in Ordinary Passports</u> . In view of the process for a extending the period of stay of citizens of both countries, from the current 30 c	C	een wozambique an	a south Africa on	
	- Approval of the Decree No. 3/2017. This Decree amends two articles, namely Decree 108/2014, of December 31, and				

Regulation of Law 5/93 of December 28, concerning the visa of border that becomes issued without the additional 25% for citizens from Countries where Mozambique does not have an Embassy. So that anyone can obtain this visa as long as the person meets the requirements.

- Mozambique, also signed the SADC trade protocol with all member states except Angola and Congo. While in terms of visas, Mozambique has signed visa-free agreements for both Diplomatic and Ordinary passports with 15 countries, including 11 from the SADC Region, namely: South Africa, Botswana, Lesotho, Malawi, Mauritius, Namibia, Seychelles, Swaziland, Tanzania, Zambia and Zimbabwe. And with the CPLP countries, Mozambique has signed agreements with four countries: Angola, Cape Verde, Guinea Bissau and Sao Tome and Principe.

Achievements on trade facilitation:

Item	2016
1. Physical infrastructure (PI)	41.79%
2.Information and communication technology (ICT)	39.24%
3. Border administration (BA)	66.31%
- Number of countries with bilateral agricultural trade related agreements (NTA)	12
4. Bilateral Agricultural trade related agreements, ATA = 100 x NTA/54	28%
- Number of countries with visa free entry (NVF)	15
- Number of countries with visa on arrival (VA)	39
5. Immigration IM = 100 x (NVF+VA)/54	100%
Trade Facilitation Index, TFI = (PI + ICT + BA + ATA + IM)/5	55.02%

<u>PC 5.2ii</u>	Data of Compe While o <u>www.d</u> Meany and Tra	etitive Report 2017, available a data on Border Administration doingbusiness.org/data/explore while, the number of countries ade (MIC). And lastly, visa infor ic actions taken so far for the	mation and communic t <u>www.weforum.org/a</u> were extracted from t eeconomies/mozambi with bilateral agreem mation was obtained	ration technology and ncr. the '' The World Bank que/ tents on agricultural t	d border administration was picked The Africa Cooling Business Report available at: Crade has been provided by the Ministry of Industry igration Service.
Intra-African Trade Policies and institutional conditions		entar a produçãointerna vements on Domestic Food Pr	,		
<u>Target:</u> Reduce the Domestic Food Price Volatility Index to		Item Domestic Food Price Volatility Index, CV	2015 2.65	2016 6.03	
less than 7.5% by 2025. <u>Indicator:</u> Domestic Food Price Volatility Index(CV)	 Volatility Index, CV Sources of verification and Specific comments: The Coefficients of Variation (CV) for each of the both years were calculated based on the Monthly Consumer Price Inder (CPI), which were provided by INE. The CV is equal to the standard deviation divided by the arithmetic mean, multiplied 100%. The results suggest that between 2015 and 2016 there was a marked increase in the food prices over 100%. A number factors were responsible for the price increase registered in 2016, especially the El Nino effect that manifested itself as extre temperatures, floods and prolonged droughts in the Southern region of the country and in the form of floods, floods a cyclones in the Central and North Regions of the Country. These climatic events translated into negative effects especially in agricultural performance, less supply of food and its high demand. In addition to the El Nino effect, the strong depreciation of Metical against dollar and the political-military conflict in the m regions with productive potential also led to low indices of agricultural production and productivity. 				

• Specific actions taken so far for the target:

The Government of Mozambique is committed to build the capacity of the population to become resilient to climate change and weather variability.

In this context, the Government has already included climate change aspects in several sectorial guiding instruments aimed at simultaneously reducing the impacts of Climate Change (MC) and promoting low carbon emission. These instruments include the Poverty Reduction Plan (PARP); The Strategic Plan for the Development of the Agrarian Sector (PEDSA); The Basic Social Action Strategy; The Tourism Strategy; The National Strategy for Water Resources; The Disaster Management Master Plan; Master Plan for Disaster Management Policy; The Intervention Strategy in Informal Settlements in Mozambique and its Plan of Action; The Gender, Environment and Climate Change Strategy; The Energy Strategy; Strategy for Reducing Emissions Resulting from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD +).

In addition, there is still a great effort by the Government to include, at all levels, aspects related to Climate Change in the sectorial planning and in the Economic and Sectorial Plans (PES).

Item	2015	2016
1. Total number of farm, pastoral, and fisher households, NagHH		4,162,187
2. Number of farm, pastoral, and fisher households that are resilient to climate variability and related risks, NRagHH		13,008
Percentage of farm, pastoral, and fisher households that are resilient to climate and weather related shocks (in %), tRAgHhi = 100 x NRagHH/NagHH		0.31%

• Achievements on households are resilient to climate and weather related risks:

Sources of verification and other specific comments:

The number of agricultural producers was considered as the total number of farms household which were captured in the 2015 IAI survey. Since the survey was not carried out in 2016, for this report it was considered to be the same for both 2015 and 2016.

While, the number of fishermen was provided by the Ministry of the Sea, Inland Waters and Fisheries (MMAIP). The figure was collected in the last Fisheries Census which was held in 2012. Therefore, it was assumed that the number of fish households remains the same as 2012 census (162,187 fish households).

Lastly, the number of households that are resilient to climate stresses was provided by the Ministry of Land, Environment and Rural Development (MITADER). In fact, the institution is carrying out a project aiming at building households resilience to climate stress called Community Action Plans for Adaptation (PACA). Under this project MITADER has been working throughout the country in building resilience. As a matter of fact, 10.997 households from nine communities (Matsequenha, Mahelane, Mafuane, Kala-kala, Michangulene, Changalane, Namaacha-Sede, M'bolera and Ponte de Lurio) have benefited from the project.

The Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security in collaboration with the African Development Bank, is implementing the Mozambique - Sustainable Land & Water Resources Management Project (SLWRMP) which seeks to build farmers resilience. Under this project, MASA has been working on assisting horticultural and grain producers along the Limpopo Valley in the Province of Gaza. In fact, it has been built 15 multi-functional wheels which benefits 603 horticultural households; 18 dams for 718 horticultural households and 88 spray irrigation kits for 710 horticultural and grain households.

In the same scope of view, the Ministry of Agriculture of Mozambique together with the African Development Bank is engaged in building farmers resilience along the Limpopo Valley in the Province of Gaza. In the light of this agreement, the Baixo Limpopo Irrigation Scheme which is a public Company within the Ministry of Agriculture is planning to irrigate about 3.050 hectares of land and will benefit over 8200 smallholder farmers. The rehabilitation is on-going process and is expected to be finalized by 2018.

Equally important, apart from the aforementioned irrigation projects already underway, the Government through the Ministry of Sea, Inland Water and Fisheries (MMAIP) has been taken in place throughout the country Programs which sights to promote

		llture practices as an alternative way to r	educe pressure on fi	sheries.	
PC 6.1ii Resilience to climate related risks <u>Target:</u> Ensure that at least 30% of agricultural land is placed under sustainable land management practice. <u>Indicator:</u> Share of agriculture land under SLM practices (SSLM)	Mozam need to examp is also All the to redu Thus, f Nation represe interve sustain 1. 2.	o improve the adaptation and mitigation le, Mozambique is a signatory of the Unit a signatory of the Hyogo Action Plan (200 se protocols advocate the need for all sig acing disaster risks and reducing greenho following the protocols, the Governmen al Climate Change Strategy and has stree entative committee of Public and Priv	capacity to CC, has s ed Nations Framewo 05-2015) and the Par gnatory countries to ouse gas emissions. t has developed sev engthened the Inter- vate Sector, Civil S agriculture and fish g actions has been ta soils Programs	igned several envir ork Convention on C ris Convention (201 adopt responsible r veral actions. As an Institutional Group ociety and academ heries are the mos ken in place:	Mozambican Government, aware of the onmental agreements and protocols. For limate Change (UNFCCC) since 1995 and 5) on Climate Change. neasures that simultaneously contribute illustration, Mozambique designed the working on Climate Change, which is a by. Therefore, eight strategic areas of st vulnerable. Specifically for the land
		ltem	2015	2016	
		1. Agriculture area under SLM, ASLM	Not available	Not available	

	2. Total agriculture area, AA	4,591	4,319		
	Share of agriculture land under SLM practices (in %), SSLM = 100 x ASLM /AA				
	 Sources of verification and other specific comm - 	ients:			
<u>PC 6.2</u>	 Specific actions taken so far for the target: 				
Investment in resilience	The Government has approved several guiding	g instruments aime	d at improv	ing resilience of	the population and support to
building	those in need in emergencies. Those instrument	s include:			
Taraet:	1. Law 15/2014 on Disaster Management	and its Regulations;			
Create permanent investment budget- lines to respond to	2. Documents on Cyclone Early Warning an	nd Flood Warning Sy	/stems.		
spending needs on resilience building	Under those guiding instruments the Governme	ent stresses its com	nitment to a	ssist people in b	uilding resilience. As a matter of
initiatives, especially	fact, the Government has approved governme	nt budget-lines for	both disast	er preparedness	policy and strategy, and Early
for disaster preparedness plans, functioning early	warning and response systems and social safety	v nets.			
warning and response systems,	 Achievements on availability of budget lines on 	resilience building:			
social safety nets, and weather-based index insurance,	Item	:	2015	2016	
from 2015 to 2025. <u>Indicator:</u>	1. Existence of government budget-lines of preparedness policy and strategy, El _{RB1}	on disaster	100%	100%	
Existence of					

government budget-lines to respond to spending needs on	2. Existence of government budget-lines on Early warning and response systems and social safety nets, El _{RB2}	100%	100%			
resilience building initiatives(EI _{RB})	3. Number (proportion) of households covered by index insurance, El _{RB3}	Not available	Not available			
	Existence of government budget-lines to respond to spending needs on resilience building initiatives (in %), El _{RB} = average (El _{RBi}) _{<i>i=1 to 3</i>}	66.67%	66.67%			
	 Sources of verification and other specific comments: The information was provided by the National Institute for 	r Natural Disaster M	lanagement (ING	C). However, according to INGC		
	there is no data regarding the proportion of families covere is currently working on the Disaster Management Fund	-	-			
	researches which may come up with the key insights on clin	C C	-			
<u>PC 7.1</u>	Specific actions taken so far for the target:					
Country capacity for evidence	From 2013 to 2015, Mozambique recorded a remarkable	growth in the Ind	ex of Capacity to	Generate and Use Agriculture		
based planning,	Statistical Data and Information. The country jumped from	65.2% registered in	2013 to 70.6% i	n 2015. This evolution is thanks		
implementation and M&E	to a number of reforms at both institutions MASA and INE w	which are responsible	e for generating a	agrarian statistics, specifically:		
Target: Reach at least 63 for	1. Improving the quality of infrastructures for analy between MASA and INE;	rsis and processing	of information a	and the degree of coordination		
the Index of capacity to generate and use	2. Integration of the information collection system in the Strategic Plan for the Agrarian Development (PEDSA);					
agriculture statistical data and information (ASCI),	 Approval and Publication of the Manual on Procedures for the Production of Agrarian Statistics in the National Statistics; 					
by 2025.	4. Approval and Publication of the Manual on Technical Operations of National Statistics;					
Indicator: Index of capacity to generate and use agriculture statistical	5. Approval and Publication of the Code of Conduct for the Production of Official Statistics;					

data and information, (ASCI)	 Achievements on capacity to generate 	ate and use agricultur	e statistical data:	
	Item	2015	2016	
	Index of capacity to generate and use agriculture statistical data and information, ASCI	70.6%		
	 Sources of verification and other 	specific comments:		
	The data were taken from the last	Agriculture Statistica	al data and Informat	ion (ASCI) Assessment Report carried out in 2015.
	This assessment is held every two y	vears and the previou	s one was held in 20	13, which suggests that the next assessment will be
	done in 2017.			
PC 7.2 Peer Review and	 Specific actions taken so far for the second second	-		
Mutual	*		0	s established platforms for dialogue which involve
Accountability	agricultural stakeholders. For insta	nce, the Joint Sector A	Analysis Group (JSR)	was created, which meets once a year and its main
<u>Target:</u>	task is to assess the degree of co	ompliance with the	CAADP targets. This	s evaluation is mainly based on four key pillars,
Foster alignment,	specifically:			
harmonization and coordination among	1. The sustainability of the pro	cess in terms of inter	- and intra-institutio	nal co-ordination:
multi-sectorial	1. The sustainability of the pre			
efforts and multi- institutional	2. The effectiveness of agraria	n policies to achieve t	he goals;	
platforms for peer	3. Financial commitments;			
review, mutual learning and mutual				
accountability,	4. Technical capacity of existing	ig sectors to carry out	t the tasks.	
(reach 100% for the Existence of	In addition to JSR there are also	other previously e	stablished dialog pl	atforms. Under the coordination of the Agrarian
inclusive	Development Fund (FDA), and lead	lership of His Excelle	ncy Minister of Agri	culture, agricultural stakeholders meet regularly to
institutionalized mechanisms and	discuss the performance of the sect	•		
platforms for mutual accountability and	-	-	-	and International Connection (DDCI) has been
peer review, ECI) by	The Ministry of Agriculture, throu	gn the National Dire	ectorates of Planning	g and International Cooperation (DPCI), has been

2018.

Indicator:

Existence of inclusive institutionalized mechanisms for mutual accountability and peer review, (ECI) coordinating the processes of agricultural policy design, planning, monitoring and evaluation of activities. These processes are carried out with the involvement of various agricultural stakeholders. In addition to the DPCI, other MASA Directorates have also been establishing dialogue platforms through forums organized by the respective sub-sectors. For example, the Forums of the Producers and Cotton Exporters, Forum of Cashew Exporters, Forum of Tobacco Exporters, Tea Exporters, Poultry Forum, Land Consultation Forum and Platform for seed dialogue. In addition to these sectorial forums, there are also other forums that bring together all actors of the commercial agriculture, called the Agribusiness Forum.

It is also important to be noted that since 2011 it has been established the development partners' coordination group called the Donors Working Group on Agriculture and Rural Development (AgRED).

AgRED is chaired by both European Union and World Bank and is composed of 30 International Cooperation Agencies. The main mission of AgRED is to support the implementation of PNISA and CAADP in general and to promote dialogue among various development actors. The members of AgRED meet ordinarily once a month and extraordinarily wheneveritjustifies.

Achievements on inclusive institutionalized mechanisms and platforms for mutual accountability:

Item	2016 Progress
- Number of mutual accountability principles satisfied by the country, MAPS	5
1. Adherence to mutual accountability principles (%), AMAP = (MAPS/6) x 100	83.3%
- Number of best practices satisfied by the country, BPS	7
2.Existence of mutual accountability mechanism and platform (%), EMAP = BPS/12 x 100	58.3%
- Number of key areas covered by the country's review report, NKAA	4
3. Coverage of agricultural review report, CARR = (NKAA/6) x 100	66.67%

Sources of verification and other specific comments:

The tables content were picked from the last Joint Sector Review Report (JSR) 2017 which is available at the National Directorates of Planning and International Cooperation (DPCI) of MASA. This Report indicates that five principles of mutual accountability have been respected by the Country, namely:

- 1. Agreed performance indicators;
- 2. Evidence-based analysis;
- 3. Inclusive of key stakeholders;
- 4. Transparent dialogue; and
- 5. Commitment to implement recommendation from review

The CAADP recommends the establishment of an Agrarian Sector Coordination Committee (CCSA), which is intended to be responsible for monitoring NAIPimplementation. Therefore, the CCSA was created and this body is represented by the following organisms: public sector, international cooperation agencies, private sector, organizations of producers and civil society. The body is chaired by the Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security (MASA).

Apart from MASA, there are also nine public institutions which are co-members of the platform, including: (i) Ministry of Economy and Finance; (ii) Ministry of Industry and Commerce, (iii) Ministry of Labor, (iv) Ministry of Transport and Communications, (v) Ministry of Mineral Resources and Energy, (vi) Ministry of Land and Rural Development, (Vii) Ministry of Gender, Child and Social Action, (viii) Ministry of the Sea, Inland Water and Fisheries and (ix) Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Technology.

The CCSA terms of reference have already been developed and they clearly indicate the specific activities of each member. The terms of reference also recommend that CCSA should meet ordinarily twice a year, being in the first and third quarter of each year. However, since the CCSA was established, the body met for the first time on 15May of this year.

	Although no meeting was held neither in 2015 implementation of PEDSA. Experiences of the invo example, Mozambique shared these experiences at	already been shar	red in other countries. As		
	members presented their experiences in implement The JSR Report has identified some constrains in CCSA members to CCSA meetings which weaken the second gap is the inexistence of CCSA secretariat to last gap is the inexistence of MOZSAKSS which could Despite the fact that, the country failed to achieve been implemented, including: (i) JSR Steering Com	the CAADP imple ne planning of invo o serve as the engin ld provide technica e some best practio mittee; (ii) JSR Ten	olvement of bi ne for the imp al support for ces it is reaso rms of Referen	coad range of stake lementation of JSR the implementatio nable to affirm tha nce; (iii) Broad gro	eholders in JSR process. T process in the country. T on of JSR process. at some best practices ha oup of relevant stakeholde
	for JSR; (iv) JSR Review Team; (v) JSR Report; (vi)	SR validation mee	eting; and (vii)	Experiences to sha	are with other countries.
<u>PC 7.3</u> Biennial Agriculture Review Process	 Specific actions taken so far for the target: - Achievements on availing the regular country Bien 	nial Report for the	e AU Assembly	<u></u>	
Agriculture Review Process <u>Target:</u> Conduct a biennial Agriculture Review	-	nial Report for the 2016 Progress (pi) "Yes" = 1 "No" = 0	e AU Assembly Weight (wi)	BR _i = p _i x w _i	Comments
Biennial Agriculture Review Process <u>Target:</u> Conduct a biennial Agriculture Review Process that involves tracking, monitoring and reporting progress made in	 Achievements on availing the regular country Bien 	2016 Progress (p _i)	Weight		Comments
Biennial Agriculture Review Process <u>Target:</u> Conduct a biennial Agriculture Review Process that involves tracking, monitoring and reporting progress	 Achievements on availing the regular country Bien Progress item 1. Existence of <u>Draft 1 Country Biennial Report</u> that has been validated at country level, and has been reviewed with national stakeholders' amendments 	2016 Progress (p _i)	Weight (w _i)		Comments

Report submission, (BR)	validated at subregional level, and which has taken into account amendments on data harmonization and alignment, BR ₃	Did the Country participate in the validation		12.5%		
	4. Submission of the Biennial Report by the co to the AUC/NPCA <u>through RECs, BR4</u>			25%		
	Country Biennial Report submission, BR = ∑(w _i x p _i)					
	 Sources of verification and other specific comments: - 					

Observations on the Evaluation and other general comments